Welcome!

Welcome to the April 19th Work Group Meeting!

R5DC Sustainable Communities Regional Planning Project

Is a Community Driven University Assisted Partnership

Pursuing E² Economic and Environmental Vitality

Sustainability Definitions

Actions to meet our needs today do not compromise the ability of future generations to meet their needs and ensure the ongoing healthy functioning of the natural systems that sustain life.

Development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs.

A place that offers an affordable, high quality of life, a strong economy, and equitable communities allowing everyone to enjoy the cherished quality of life that the community desires.

Building OUR Definition of Sustainability

Help us build a definition of sustainability for Central Minnesota!
1. Join us at the BIG SHEETS OF PAPER by the doors
2. Write WORDS or PHRASES that complete the sentence:
   “Sustainability in Central Minnesota means…..”

Creating a Resilient Region

Agenda

- Desired Outcomes:
  - Understand sustainability as it relates to this process
  - Understand the scope and depth of workgroup work
  - Confirm Key Issues to be addressed
  - Identify information still needed to develop recommendations
  - Understand next steps

- Review and 5th Work Group meeting meeting
- Review of Sustainability Comments
- Issues Scope of Workgroup Work
- Introduction
- Visit group discussion: What key issues are emerging?
- Breakout groups (Sustainability) individual and collaborative
- 5th group discussion: “What key issues will we address?”
- Key information update: “What information do we still need in order to make recommendations about our key issues?”
- Follow-up assignments and next steps
- Review of Resilient Communities: did we accomplish them?
- Adjourn. Next Work Group Meeting is May 6th, same time and location. Please complete the evaluation of meeting before you leave.
R5DC Sustainable Communities Regional Planning Project

Is a
Community Driven
University Assisted
Partnership

Pursuing E²
Economic and Environmental Vitality

Mission
To create a community-driven, university-assisted partnership around planning sustainable regions that will integrate the disciplines of Housing, Transportation, Natural Environment (Land Use) and Economic Development with viable strategies through HIGHLY involved civic engagement.

Job of the Work Groups
1. Identify Key Issues to be addressed in each work area.
2. Identify and gather the information needed to make informed recommendations.
3. Identify scenarios that you would like to see done by the U of M that are related to your issues.
4. Create a range of recommendations related to each issue
5. Coordinate recommendations across workgroups
6. Finalize recommendations for consideration at December full consortium meeting.

What Guides our work?
• Our Mission
• Livability Principles
• Regional Guiding Principles
• HUD Mandatory Outcomes

Realizing a Desired Future: Scenario planning
• Document where we are today
• Imagine where we want to be
• Plan for how to get from here to there

The Central Minnesota Sustainable Development Plan
Creating a Resilient Region

**Questions**

- Economic Development – White Sands (here)
- Land Use – Red Sands, downstairs, east side
- Housing – Bait and Tackle, upstairs, east side
- Transportation – Ojibwa, upstairs, west side

**Principles Worksheet for Scoring**

- Economic Development
- Land Use
- Housing
- Transportation

**Individual Issues Scoring Worksheet**

**Issues Scoring Table Summary**

**Work Group Locations**

**Work Group**

- Serving Crow Wing, Cass, Morrison, Todd and Wadena Counties

**For more information**

See www.resilientregion.org

---

**The Central Minnesota Sustainable Development Plan**
Evaluation Results from Workgroup 2

Creating a Resilient Region: Workgroup 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Not Well</th>
<th>Very Well</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4 (18%)</td>
<td>24 (62%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Evaluation Results from Workgroup 2, continued

Transportation Workgroup: Anything else that you wish to share about this meeting?

- The transportation plans are a good start, but more planning is needed.
- The meeting was well-organized and informative.
- The transportation planning process needs to be improved.

Land Use Workgroup, Sample Follow-up to workgroup members via BaseCamp

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land Use Key Issues</th>
<th>Action Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Road Safety</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Improve signage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic Flow</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Implement roundabouts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access Control</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Increase visibility</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For more information

See [www.resilientregion.org](http://www.resilientregion.org)